# ProofSpace Problem Set

### Preliminaries

#### Logical Identities and Equivalences

#### **Discussed Problems**

**1** Let a be a real number. What are the converse and contrapositive of the following statements?

- a) If a = 3, then  $a^2 = 9$ .
- b) If the phone rings, then I turn off the T.V.
- c) If  $a \neq 4$  or  $a \neq -4$ , then  $a^2 \neq 16$ .

**2** Let P, Q, and R be statements. Use a truth table to prove the following equivalence:

$$P \wedge (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \wedge Q) \vee (P \wedge R).$$

**3** Let P, Q, and R be statements. Use prior knowledge to prove the following logical equivalences.

- a)  $P \iff Q \equiv (\neg P \lor Q) \land (\neg Q \lor P).$
- b)  $[P \land Q] \Rightarrow R \equiv (P \Rightarrow R) \lor (Q \Rightarrow R).$

#### **Evaluated Problems**

1 Let P, Q, and R be statements. Use a truth table to prove the following equivalence:

$$P \lor (Q \land R) \equiv (P \lor Q) \land (P \lor R).$$

**2** Let P, Q, and R be statements. Use prior knowledge to prove the following logical equivalences.

a)  $\neg P \Rightarrow (Q \land \neg Q) \equiv P$ . b)  $(P \Rightarrow Q) \Rightarrow R \equiv (P \land \neg Q) \lor R$ c)  $\neg (P \lor Q) \Rightarrow R \equiv \neg (Q \lor R) \Rightarrow P$ 

## Supplemental Problems

Mathematical Reasoning: Writing and Proof, Online Version 2.0, by Ted Sundstrom: Sec. 2.2: 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11

## Advanced Problems

**1** Use prior knowledge to prove that the following statement, called *reductio ad absurdum*, is a tautology:

$$((\neg P \Rightarrow Q) \land (\neg P \Rightarrow \neg Q)) \Rightarrow P.$$

**2** (The Crocodile Dilemma) Suppose an extraordinarily indecent crocodile has stolen your write-up to this problem set (thereby actively hindering your ability to become the great prover you were meant to be). The crocodile promises you that he will return your write-up if and only if you can correctly predict whether or not the crocodile will return your write-up.

- a) Find a prediction that leads to contradiction.
- b) Show the crocodile who's boss. Show that the other prediction does not lead to contradiction.