

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Partner: \_\_\_\_\_

### Black Body Radiation

Your nicely formatted Excel worksheet should be placed in my inbox on \\files (\\files\Inbox\Physics\Pogo\OpticsLab; only one Excel document per group; it should “**saved as**” “BlackBody-Smith&Jones.xls”), assuming that you and your partner are named Smith and Jones, respectively. Please “save as” .xls format (NOT .xlsx format). The spreadsheet must include all three plots, all professionally formatted:  $(P/T^4)$  vs.  $T$ ,  $P$  versus  $T^4$ , and  $\ln(P)$  versus  $\ln(T)$ .

	<i>units</i>	<i>Value</i>	<i>Uncertainty</i>
$R_{300}$	$\Omega$		
<i>Radius <math>r</math> of tungsten filament</i>	$m$		
<i>Cross sectional area <math>A</math> of filament</i>	$m^2$		
<i>Length <math>L</math> of filament</i>	$m$		
<i>Surface area <math>S</math> of tungsten filament</i>	$m^2$		
<i>Temperature function <math>T(\rho')</math></i>  <i>You may leave out units and uncertainties</i>	$T(\rho') =$		
<i>Slope of <math>P</math> vs <math>T^4</math> graph</i>	$W/K^4$		
<i>Value of <math>\epsilon</math> from <math>P</math> vs <math>T^4</math> graph</i>			
<i>Slope from log plot:</i>			
<i>Intercept from log plot:</i>			
<i>Value of <math>n</math> from log plot</i>	--		
<i>Value of <math>\epsilon</math> from log plot</i>	--		
<i>Accepted emissivity of tungsten (<math>\epsilon</math>)</i>	--		

In the space below, comment on your results. How does your measured  $n$  agree with the theoretical value? If the measured  $n$  does not agree with the theoretical  $n$ , explain a possible cause for the discrepancy. How does your measured emissivity of tungsten agree with the accepted value as listed in the CRC? If they do not agree, explain a possible cause for the discrepancy.